

## Provider-initiated HIV testing in health care settings: Should it include client-centered counselling?

Susan M Kiene, Moses Bateganya, Rhoda Wanyenze, Haruna Lule, Kenneth Mayer, Michael Stein

### Abstract

To increase access to HIV testing, the WHO and CDC have recommended implementing provider-initiated HIV testing (PITC). To address the resource limitations of the PITC setting, WHO and CDC suggest that patient-provider interactions during PITC may need to focus on providing information and referrals, instead of engaging patients in client-centered counselling, as is recommended during client-initiated HIV testing. Providing HIV prevention information has been shown to be less effective than client-centered counselling in reducing HIV-risk behaviour and STI incidence. Therefore, concerns exist about the efficacy of PITC as an HIV prevention approach. However, reductions in HIV incidence may be greater if more people know their HIV status through expanded availability of PITC, even if PITC is a less effective prevention intervention than is client-initiated HIV testing for individual patients. In the absence of an answer to this public health question, adaptation of effective brief client-centered counselling approaches to PITC should be explored along with research assessing the efficacy of PITC.

**Keywords:** Provider-initiated HIV testing, client-centered counselling, HIV prevention, developing countries.

**Susan M Kiene** (PhD) is an Assistant Professor of Medicine and Community Health (Research) at Brown University in Providence, Rhode Island, where she is also a Research Associate at Rhode Island Hospital. Dr Kiene also holds an appointment as a Visiting Professor at Makerere University School of Public Health in Uganda. She received her doctoral training in Social Psychology at the University of Connecticut. During the final 3 years of her doctoral training she was supported by a Ruth L Kirschstein National Research Service Award (NRSA), Individual Pre-doctoral Fellowship from the National Institutes of Health (NIH) for HIV prevention research in South Africa. Upon arriving at Brown University, Dr Kiene launched her research programme in Uganda for which she received support from a K01 Research Scientist Career Development award from NIH, a grant from the Rhode Island Foundation, and a grant from the Brown University National Center for Excellence in Women's Health. As part of her K01 Research Scientist Career Development award she is pursuing an MPH in Global Health at the Harvard School of Public Health. Dr Kiene is also part of a research team that is conducting a multisite cluster randomised trial of an HIV prevention intervention for HIV-positive patients delivered in the context of ongoing clinical care in public health clinics in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. In Uganda, Dr Kiene and her team are studying ways to improve counselling during provider-initiated routine HIV counselling and testing.

**Michael Stein** (MD) is Professor of Medicine and Community Health at Brown University in Providence, RI, USA. He has directed HIV services at Rhode Island Hospital for 20 years, and also directs a large HIV clinic in Santiago, Dominican Republic. He has twice been awarded a National Institute on Drug Abuse Mid-Career Research and Mentoring award. Visit his website at [www.michaelsteinbooks.com](http://www.michaelsteinbooks.com)

**Moses Bateganya** (MB ChB, MMed, MPH) is a Ugandan physician, a graduate of Makerere University (Internal Medicine) and the University of Washington (Public Health). He currently works at the International Training and Education Center (I-TECH) in the Department of Global Health at the University of Washington. He has worked in HIV clinical care and treatment and research in Uganda and Guyana, and currently has ongoing research in Uganda (non-Hodgkin's lymphoma and HIV testing).

**Rhoda Wanyenze** (MB ChB, MPH) is the programme manager for the Makerere University School of Public Health-CDC HIV Fellowship Programme in Kampala, Uganda. She earned her medical degree (MB ChB) and Masters in Public Health from Makerere University. Dr Wanyenze piloted the first provider-initiated HIV counselling and testing programme in Uganda in 2003 and has been involved in research as well as implementation of HIV counselling and testing programmes, and policy development for over 7 years.

**Kenneth Mayer** (MD) is Medical Director of Research at The Fenway Institute/Fenway Health, Professor of Medicine and Community Health at Brown University, Director of the Brown University AIDS International Training and Research Programme, and Brown's AIDS programme and part of the Consulting and Attending Staff at Miriam Hospital of Rhode Island in the USA. He has been the Principal Investigator of one of the first National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Disease-funded studies of heterosexual transmission of HIV in Southeastern New England (beginning in 1987). He has also been a co-principal investigator of the Centers for Disease Control-funded HIV Epidemiology Research Study of the natural history of HIV in women. He is the principal investigator of the New England Vaccine Preparedness Cohort Studies of the National Institute of Health's (NIH) national HIV vaccine field trial effort. He is the principal investigator of a new NIH-funded HIV prevention trials network which funds studies of HIV prevention at Fenway, Brown University, and a collaborating site in Chennai, India. Most recently, he is the principal investigator of two investigator-initiated studies looking at the effectiveness of a behavioural secondary prevention intervention among HIV-infected MSM who receive primary care services. He is co-principal investigator of the first study on the acceptability of rectal microbicides. Dr Mayer serves on the National Board of Directors of the American Foundation for AIDS Research (AMFAR), and served on the Data Safety and Monitoring Board of the National Institute of Health's AIDS Clinical Trials Group. He is on several editorial boards and has published extensively on AIDS, particularly in the areas of natural history, behavioural epidemiology, transmission variables, microbicides, and public policy aspects of the epidemic.

**Haruna Lule** (MB ChB, MHSM) is the principal medical officer and medical superintendent at Gombe Hospital in Uganda. He is also the medical officer in charge of Butumbala Health sub-district. Dr Lule earned his medical degree (MBChB) from Makerere University in Uganda and a Masters in Health Services Management from Uganda Martyrs University. He also has certificates in Community Health Management, Epidemiology, Health Services Planning and Management and Administrative Law. He has served at Gombe Hospital for over 14 years, starting as a medical officer to his current designation.

Correspondence to: [susan\\_kiene@brown.edu](mailto:susan_kiene@brown.edu)

## Résumé

Pour augmenter l'accès au dépistage du VIH, l'OMS et le CDC ont recommandé la mise en œuvre d'un dépistage du VIH initié par les fournisseurs (DVIF). Pour répondre aux limites du cadre du DVIF en matière de ressources, l'OMS et le CDC ont suggéré que les interactions patient-fournisseur au cours du DVIF se concentrent sur la fourniture d'informations et de références au lieu d'entraîner les patients vers une assistance psychosociale centrée sur le client comme cela est recommandé au cours d'un dépistage du VIH initié par le client. Il est apparu que les informations sur la prévention du VIH étaient moins efficaces que l'assistance psychosociale centrée sur le client pour réduire les comportements à risque quant au VIH et l'incidence de MST. Par conséquent, des préoccupations existent quant à l'efficacité du DVIF en tant qu'approche de prévention du VIH. Il est cependant apparu que les réductions de l'incidence du VIH pourraient être supérieures si davantage de personnes connaissaient leur statut sérologique par la plus grande disponibilité du DVIF, même si le DVIF reste une mesure de prévention moins efficace que ne l'est le dépistage du VIH initié par le client pour les patients individuels. En l'absence de réponse à cette question de santé publique, l'adaptation des approches efficaces d'assistance psychosociale centrée sur le client au DVIF devrait être étudiée pendant que des études visant à évaluer l'efficacité du DVIF sont réalisées.

**Mots clés:** Dépistage du VIH initié par les fournisseurs, assistance psychosociale centrée sur le client, prévention du VIH, pays en développement.

## Evolution of HIV counselling and testing

Historically most HIV testing has been client-initiated, or opt-in, in which individuals actively seek HIV testing at a facility offering HIV testing. Client-initiated HIV testing, which is generally known as voluntary counselling and testing (VCT), has been the primary model for providing HIV testing (WHO, 2007). In VCT, clients receive pre-test counselling before testing, and then post-test counselling when they receive their results. Until rapid HIV-tests became available, clients had to return to the testing site one or two weeks later to receive their results and post-test counselling. With the advent of rapid HIV testing, clients are able to receive their results the same day. Unfortunately, even with rapid testing, client-initiated testing has been unable to reach many people who need HIV testing (WHO, 2007).

More recently a new model for HIV testing and counselling, known as provider-initiated, or opt-out HIV testing, has been developed and is being scaled-up throughout the world (Bassett *et al.*, 2007; Chandisarewa *et al.*, 2007; Creek *et al.*, 2007; Gammino *et al.*, 2008; Nakanjako *et al.*, 2007; Steen *et al.*, 2007; Wanyenze *et al.*, 2008). In contrast to client-initiated testing, during provider-initiated HIV testing and counselling (PITC), a health care provider offers HIV testing to a patient as a standard part of medical care. With opt-out PITC, like other medical procedures such as undergoing diagnostic X-ray examinations, patients must decline to be tested after receiving information about the test. PITC was first implemented as HIV screening, for example, when a patient had symptoms suggestive of an HIV-related illness. PITC is now routinely offered in outpatient,

inpatient, antenatal, sexually transmitted infection, tuberculosis and emergency clinical settings. All patients presenting in the clinic are offered an HIV test if they have not tested recently.

The newest provider-initiated HIV testing approaches are door-to-door and household member HIV testing and counselling (Bateganya *et al.*, 2007; Were *et al.*, 2006). With door-to-door HIV testing community health workers go to all households in a selected area, and offer HIV testing in the home to adults and children. Household member testing is also being offered in the home to family members of clients identified as HIV-positive.

## Scale-up of provider-initiated HIV testing

In an effort to increase the number of individuals who know their HIV status, decrease the prevalence of undiagnosed HIV infection, and to promote early diagnosis of and treatment for HIV infection, the WHO and CDC have recommended implementing and scaling-up opt-out provider-initiated HIV testing services in both in- and outpatient health care settings (Branson *et al.*, 2006; WHO, 2007). It is also hoped that as more people become aware of their HIV status, HIV transmission risk behaviours will decline, resulting in decreased HIV incidence.

WHO recommends that PITC be offered to patients in all health care facilities in countries with generalised HIV epidemics (WHO, 2007), and the CDC recommends that PITC should be offered to patients aged 13 - 64 in health care settings in the U.S. (Branson *et al.*, 2006). PITC programmes are not meant to replace client-initiated HIV testing, in fact, scale-up of such services is also recommended (Branson *et al.*, 2006; WHO,

2007). However, PITC may be an efficient and effective way to provide HIV prevention services to larger numbers of people.

With the rapid scale-up of PITC in several developing countries, including Uganda and Botswana, PITC may soon overtake client-initiated HIV testing in terms of the number of individuals tested. As such, PITC services hold great promise as a component of HIV prevention programmes because of their ability to reach large numbers of people and, most notably, to reach individuals who have never before had access to HIV testing and prevention services. However, the scale up of PITC programmes necessitates that PITC fit within the existing resource and time limitations of health care settings. These resource limitations, especially in developing countries, require that the patient-provider interaction during HIV testing be abbreviated and its contents modified, compared with counselling that is offered during client-initiated HIV testing.

### Counselling during HIV testing

The recommended scale-up of PITC programmes will likely be successful in increasing the number of people who know their HIV status (Creek *et al.*, 2007) and providing important linkages to care and treatment for those who test HIV-positive. However, changes in the counselling approach recommended during PITC compared with client-initiated HIV testing raises concerns about the effectiveness of PITC as an HIV prevention approach for those who test HIV-negative as well as those who test HIV-positive.

Guidelines for counselling during PITC differ from those for counselling during client-initiated HIV counselling and testing. According to CDC and UNAIDS recommendations, counselling during client-initiated HIV testing should be client-centered, meaning that it is a dialogue between the counsellor and the client to identify the client's current HIV-risk behaviours, barriers to risk reduction, and to negotiate achievable goals to reduce HIV risk behaviours (CDC, 1993; UNAIDS, 2000). In order to address the inherent resource limitations in implementing PITC in health care settings, WHO and CDC guidelines suggest that the patient-provider interaction during PITC may need to be different than during client-initiated HIV testing. Specifically, WHO and CDC recommend that the patient-provider interaction may need to focus on providing basic HIV prevention information, along with referrals for prevention, support and care services. This is in contrast to the recommendations for client-initiated HIV testing which encourage providers to engage patients in client-centered discussions, including individualised HIV-risk assessment and risk reduction goal setting (Branson *et al.*, 2006; WHO, 2007). However, it is unknown if changes in the counselling during

client-initiated HIV testing compared with PITC will reduce the efficacy of PITC in reducing HIV transmission risk behaviour compared with client-initiated HIV testing.

Unfortunately, current protocols for client-centered counselling during client-initiated HIV counselling and testing are too lengthy to be implemented in the PITC setting. In Uganda, for example, providing HIV prevention information and referrals during PITC lasts approximately 5 - 25 minutes. This is much abbreviated compared with the duration of counselling during client-initiated HIV counselling and testing. The WHO and CDC guidelines correctly acknowledge that in order to be viable in a variety of health care settings, the patient-provider interaction during PITC must be brief. However, they also inherently assume that in most settings a client-centered counselling protocol cannot be designed to be brief enough to be feasible as part of PITC.

### Brief client-centered counselling

In the absence of data to support the efficacy of current protocols for PITC in reducing HIV-risk behaviour, it is also worth considering that it may be possible to create a brief client-centered HIV-risk reduction counselling approach that stays within the limited time and resources available for PITC in health care settings. Brief client-centered counselling has been shown to be effective in several contexts. Most notably, client-centered counselling lasting less than 30 minutes during PITC among STD clinic patients who tested HIV-negative in the US was effective in reducing STI incidence and HIV-risk behaviour through 12-month follow-up (Kamb *et al.*, 1998). Similarly, two brief (5 - 15 minute) client-centered counselling sessions demonstrated effectiveness in reducing unprotected sexual behaviour among HIV-positive patients in clinical care in the US (Fisher *et al.*, 2006) and South Africa (Cornman *et al.*, 2008). A single session of client-centered counselling has also been shown to be effective in changing other health-related behaviours even when the counselling duration is 15 minutes or less (Rubak *et al.*, 2005).

### Is knowledge of HIV-status enough to change behaviour?

For individuals who test HIV-positive, knowledge of their status, when accompanied by an individualised HIV transmission risk assessment and HIV risk reduction goal setting, has been shown to be effective in reducing HIV transmission risk behaviour (Marks *et al.*, 2005). However, it is unknown if knowledge of HIV-positive status in the absence of such client-centered counselling is equally effective in reducing HIV transmission risk behaviour.

## HIV prevention counselling as part of follow-up care

The current recommendations for the structure of the patient-provider interaction during PITC include providing referrals for follow-up risk reduction counselling, partner and family member HIV testing, and support, care and treatment services as applicable (Branson *et al.*, 2006; WHO, 2007). The expectation is that individualised risk reduction counselling will be provided during follow-up at the referral site. However, this may not be the case due to the same resource limitations and a focus on HIV treatment for those who are HIV-positive. Furthermore, while opportunities may exist to provide individualised HIV transmission risk assessment and HIV risk reduction goal setting to those who test HIV-positive during follow-up clinical care, it is unknown what percentage of those who test HIV-positive during PITC seek follow-up services. Reports from the US indicate that one-third to nearly half of individuals diagnosed with HIV during client-initiated HIV testing delay entry into care for more than one year (Glynn, 2005; Samet *et al.*, 1998). Similar rates of delayed access to care have been observed in developing countries with universal access to HIV care and treatment (Kumar *et al.*, 2008; Louis *et al.*, 2007).

Furthermore, opportunities for follow-up individualised HIV risk reduction counselling may not exist for those who test HIV-negative, creating a missed opportunity to provide effective counselling to help these patients adopt behaviours to reduce their risk of HIV acquisition. Providing HIV prevention information during HIV testing has been shown to be less effective than client-centered counselling in reducing HIV risk behaviour and STI incidence in the US (Kamb *et al.*, 1998). For individuals who test HIV-negative, the absence of an individualised HIV risk assessment and risk reduction goal setting may enable them to assume incorrectly that their current behaviour poses little to no risk for HIV infection, even when their behaviour may pose significant risk (Glick, 2005). Such a conclusion may lead individuals who test HIV-negative to maintain or increase their current level of HIV risk behaviour. Therefore, abandoning the potential HIV preventive value of client-centered risk reduction counselling during the 'teachable moment' of HIV testing may create a missed opportunity to provide effective HIV prevention services to individuals who may not otherwise have access to these services.

Achieving optimal HIV prevention outcomes from PITC programmes may depend upon receipt of supplementary referrals for HIV risk reduction counselling as part of clinical care services following HIV-positive test results. As PITC programmes proliferate, research is needed to determine what

percentage of patients seek follow-up counselling, support and care services, and to identify the enabling and impeding factors associated with accessing follow-up services. Such knowledge, in combination with data regarding the efficacy of PITC in reducing HIV risk behaviour among those testing HIV-negative and HIV-positive, would provide empirical support for the WHO and CDC recommendations of moving away from client-centered counselling for PITC, or the designing of interventions to address gaps in the current procedures.

## Likelihood of HIV transmission

A final question concerning the public health impact of PITC versus client-initiated HIV testing is: At a population level, what is the likelihood of HIV-transmission among patients who receive PITC compared with those who seek client-initiated HIV testing? First, it is unknown if greater HIV prevalence is observed in widespread PITC compared with client-initiated HIV testing services. To date most PITC has mainly been done in high prevalence wards or clinics, resulting in data suggesting greater prevalence in PITC compared with client-initiated HIV testing (e.g. Menzies *et al.*, 2009; Wanyenze *et al.*, 2006). Therefore, the result of larger scale PITC in entire facilities may be a reduced prevalence. Second, are patients identified as HIV-positive during PITC more or less infectious than patients identified as HIV-positive during client-initiated testing? One recent paper from Uganda compared HIV prevalence and CD4+ counts among clients who were tested in different HIV testing approaches (Menzies *et al.*, 2009). Among hospital patients who received PITC, 27.2% tested HIV-positive and 71.4% had a CD4+ counts less than 200 cells/mm. In comparison, among clients who sought client-initiated HIV testing in a health facility, 19.1% tested HIV-positive and 67.7% had CD4+ counts less than 200 cells/mm. Having a CD4+ count less than 200 cells/mm meets WHO criteria for initiating antiretroviral therapy (WHO, 2006). It is likely that clients who have lower CD4+ counts also have higher viral loads and are thus more infectious (Mahajan *et al.*, 2004). However, at present there is not enough evidence to determine if the likelihood of HIV transmission is different between clients who receive provider-initiated versus client-initiated HIV testing and counselling.

## Impact of HIV testing on HIV incidence: Unanswered questions

Given that client-initiated HIV counselling and testing programmes have reached such a small percentage of people who need access to HIV testing, one wonders whether reductions in HIV incidence may be greater if more people know their HIV status through expanded availability of PITC, even if PITC is a

less effective prevention intervention than is client-initiated HIV counselling and testing for individual patients. An answer to this question requires determination of: (1) if knowledge of HIV-positive status alone is sufficient to reduce HIV transmission risk behaviour; (2) how effective PITC is in reducing HIV transmission risk behaviour compared with client-initiated HIV testing; (3) how effective PITC is in linking patients to follow-up care; (4) how effective prevention counselling during follow-up care is in reducing HIV transmission risk behaviour; and (5) the HIV transmission likelihood among patients receiving PITC compared with those receiving client-initiated HIV testing.

In the absence of a definitive answer to these public health questions, adaptation of what is known about brief client-centered counselling from other contexts to the PITC setting should be explored. If an effective client-centered counselling approach, including an individualised HIV-risk assessment and HIV risk reduction goal setting, can be tailored to the time and resource constraints of the public health sector in resource limited settings, then the scale up of PITC programmes could achieve even greater reductions in HIV incidence than they might otherwise.

## Acknowledgements

Dr Kiene is supported by a mentored research scientist career development award from the National Institutes of Mental Health (K01 MH083536). Dr Stein is supported by a National Institute on Drug Abuse Mid-Career Award (K24 DA00512).

## References

- Bassett, I.V., Giddy, J., Nkera, J., Wang, B., Losina, E., Lu, Z., *et al.* (2007). Routine voluntary HIV testing in Durban, South Africa: The experience from an outpatient department. *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes*, 46(2), 181-186.
- Bateganya, M.H., Abdulwadud, O.A., & Kiene, S.M. (2007). Home-based HIV voluntary counselling and testing in developing countries. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, (4), CD006493.
- Branson, B M., Handsfield, H.H., Lampe, M.A., Janssen, R.S., Taylor, A.W., Lyss, S.B., *et al.* (2006). Revised recommendations for HIV testing of adults, adolescents, and pregnant women in health-care settings. *MMWR Recommendations and Reports*, 55(RR-14), 1-17.
- CDC (1993). Recommendations for HIV testing services for inpatients and outpatients in acute-care hospital settings. Center for Disease Control and Prevention. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, 42(RR-2), 1-6.
- Chandisarewa, W., Stranix-Chibanda, L., Chirapa, E., Miller, A., Simoyi, M., Mahomva, A., *et al.* (2007). Routine offer of antenatal HIV testing ("opt-out" approach) to prevent mother-to-child transmission of HIV in urban Zimbabwe. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, 85(11), 843-850.
- Cornman, D.H., Kiene, S.M., Christie, S., Fisher, W.A., Shuper, P.A., Pillay, S., *et al.* (2008). Clinic-based intervention reduces unprotected sexual behaviour among HIV-infected patients in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa: Results of a pilot study. *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes*, 48, 553-560.
- Creek, T.L., Ntuny, R., Seipone, K., Smith, M., Mogodi, M., Smit, M., *et al.* (2007). Successful introduction of routine opt-out HIV testing in antenatal care in Botswana. *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes*, 45, 102-107.
- Fisher, J D., Fisher, W.A., Cornman, D.H., Amico, R.K., Bryan, A., & Friedland, G.H. (2006). Clinician-delivered intervention during routine clinical care reduces unprotected sexual behaviour among HIV-infected patients. *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes*, 41(1), 44-52.
- Gammino, V.M., Mboya, J.J., Samandari, T., Sheth, A., Almquist, J., Nkubito, G., *et al.* (2008). Baseline evaluation of routine HIV testing among tuberculosis patients in Botswana. *International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease*, 12(3 Suppl 1), 92-94.
- Glick, P. (2005). Scaling up HIV voluntary counselling and testing in Africa: what can evaluation studies tell us about potential prevention impacts? *Evaluation Review*, 29(4), 331-357.
- Glynn, K. (2005). Estimates of out of care: background and methods for CDC estimates. Paper presented at the HRSA Engaging in Care Consultation, Washington, DC.
- Kamb, M.L., Fishbein, M., Douglas, J.M., Jr., Rhodes, F., Rogers, J., Bolan, G., *et al.* (1998). Efficacy of risk-reduction counselling to prevent human immunodeficiency virus and sexually transmitted diseases: a randomized controlled trial. Project RESPECT Study Group. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 280(13), 1161-1167.
- Kumar, A., Kilaru, K.R., Kumari, G., Forde, S., & Waterman, I. (2008). Follow-up of HIV-infected women diagnosed by antenatal screening in Barbados from 1996-2004. *AIDS Patient Care and STDs*, 22(9), 715-721.
- Louis, C., Ivers, L.C., Smith Fawzi, M.C., Freedberg, K.A., & Castro, A. (2007). Late presentation for HIV care in central Haiti: factors limiting access to care. *AIDS Care*, 19(4), 487-491.
- Mahajan, A.P., Hogan, J.W., Snyder, B., Kumarasamy, N., Mehta, K., Solomon, S., *et al.* (2004). Changes in total lymphocyte count as a surrogate for changes in CD4 count following initiation of HAART: implications for monitoring in resource-limited settings. *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes*, 36(1), 567-575.
- Marks, G., Crepaz, N., Senterfitt, J.W., Janssen, R.S. (2005). Meta-analysis of high-risk sexual behaviour in persons aware and unaware they are infected with HIV in the United States: implications for HIV prevention programmes. *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes*, 39(4), 446-453.
- Menzies, N., Abang, B., Wanyenze, R., Nuwaha, F., Mugisha, B., Coutinho, A., *et al.* (2009). The costs and effectiveness of four HIV counselling and testing strategies in Uganda. *AIDS*, 23(3), 395-401.
- Nakanjako, D., Kanya, M., Daniel, K., Mayanja-Kizza, H., Freers, J., Whalen, C., *et al.* (2007). Acceptance of routine testing for HIV among adult patients at the medical emergency unit at a national referral hospital in Kampala, Uganda. *AIDS and Behavior*, 11(5), 753-758.
- Rubak, S., Sandbaek, A., Lauritzen, T., & Christensen, B. (2005). Motivational interviewing: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *British Journal of General Practice*, 55(513), 305-312.
- Samet, J.H., Freedberg, K.A., Stein, M.D., Lewis, R., Savetsky, J., Sullivan, L., *et al.* (1998). Trillion virion delay: time from testing positive for HIV to presentation for primary care. *Archives of Internal Medicine*, 158(7), 734-740.
- Steen, T.W., Seipone, K., Gomez Fde, L., Anderson, M.G., Kejelepula, M., Keapoletswe, K., *et al.* (2007). Two and a half years of routine HIV testing in Botswana. *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes*, 44(4), 484-488.
- UNAIDS (2000). UNAIDS Technical Update: Voluntary and Testing (VCT). Geneva: UNAIDS.
- Wanyenze, R., Kanya, M., Liechty, C.A., Ronald, A., Guzman, D.J., Wabwire-Mangen, F., *et al.* (2006). HIV Counselling and Testing Practices at an Urban Hospital in Kampala, Uganda. *AIDS and Behavior*, 10(4), 361-367.
- Wanyenze, R.K., Nawavvu, C., Namale, A.S., Mayanja, B., Bunnell, R., Abang, B., *et al.* (2008). Acceptability of routine HIV and testing, and HIV seroprevalence in Ugandan hospitals. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, 86(4), 302-309.
- Were, W.A., Mermin, J.H., Wamai, N., Awor, A. C., Bechange, S., Moss, S., *et al.* (2006). Undiagnosed HIV infection and couple HIV discordance among household members of HIV-infected people receiving antiretroviral therapy in Uganda. *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes*, 43(1), 91-95.
- WHO (2006). Antiretroviral therapy for HIV infection in adults and adolescents : recommendations for a public health approach 2006 rev. Geneva: World Health Organization.
- WHO (2007). Guidance on provider-initiated HIV testing and counseling in health facilities. Geneva: World Health Organization.